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#### Abstract

This paper attempts to evaluate the complex cyclicality of daily data in the course of reorganisation of the work of a wholesale store. In the study concerning a selected wholesale store three cycles of weekly, monthly, and yearly duration were distinguished using a set of zeroone variables in econometric models. The study period may be divided into two parts - before and after the introduction of organisational changes in the wholesale store.
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## INTRODUCTION

Changes are an inseparable element connected with the operation of every company (Drucker 2000). According to Stoner (2001), changes are nothing else but systematic striving for redesigning the organisation to adjust to dynamic environment and focusing on the achievement of new goals by the company. A common feature for the changes implemented in a company is the adjustment period, during which typical customer behaviour changes, which results in variable demand, difficult to model (Kufel, Błażejowski, Kufel 2014) and the calculated amplitudes may be affected by numerous outliers.

Modelling of the cyclicality of processes is a useful tool in planning a warehouse stock and wholesale store's sales. Periods of zero sales, which result mainly from fixed and movable feasts, are a characteristic feature of modelling the cyclicality of daily data. In micro companies, due to their specificity, the number of days with zero sales may be
higher as their share may additionally increase in the case of series disaggregation into product categories (Kufel 2010). Another element that makes cyclicality evaluation difficult are the days with highly divergent sales, which have a major and unfavourable impact on the model. Outliers may increase the residual variance of the described phenomenon and thus burden the evaluation of the modelled process.

This study aims to evaluate the impact of reorganisation of the work of a wholesale store on the cyclicality of sales described with daily data and comparative analysis of amplitudes calculated from selected cyclicality models.

This paper presents the results of 7 models estimated on the basis of numerous daily observations concerning the sales of yogurt. The research question was: how big mistakes can be made in the case of setting daily data cyclicality amplitudes in the process, which is also burdened with changes resulting from working time reorganisation. The data from the period from 2 January 2009 to 23 December 2013 comes from a selected wholesale store in which major organisational changes occurred.

## ESTIMATING AMPLITUDES OF COMPLEX DAILY DATA CYCLICALITY

In the case of daily frequency economic processes we can expect cycles with yearly (connected mainly with the change of seasons of the year), monthly (connected with the payment of remuneration and taxes) or weekly (connected with the working day arrangement) frequency, and these fluctuations occur independently (Błażejowski, Kufel 2009). The arrangement of statistical data results from the company's working time organisation and may concern seven day weeks, weeks without Sundays, or weeks without Sundays and Saturdays (Kufel 2010).

The following two groups of methods are used for calculating the amplitudes of cyclical fluctuations (Błażejowski, Kufel 2009):

1. mechanical methods of calculating cyclical fluctuations,
2. analytical methods of calculating cyclical fluctuations.

The analytical methods include trend-cycle models, which describe trends using (Błażejowski, Kufel 2009):
a proper set of zero-one variables,
2. a proper set of harmonics.

To determine cyclical amplitudes for the occurrence of zero sales periods connected with non-working calendar days missing observations may be supplemented. In order to do this, homologous means should be calculated on the basis of observations from adjoining weeks with the following formula: $y_{t}=\left(y_{t-p}+y_{t+p}\right) / 2$. In the case of days with zero sales these periods should not be averaged, they can be described with an additional set of zero-one variables in the set:

1. of one zero-one variable for all zero sales periods,
2. adding to the model a zero-one variable for every observation with zero sales.

In the case of the series concerning single daily transactions, these processes are highly variable, which should be explained with complex cyclicality and high influence
of outliers (Kufel 2009). It should be remembered that, as a result, the characteristics mentioned above lower the quality of the econometric model, increase residual variance, and lower the degree of adjustment of the model to the data. Single transactions of high value are impossible to forecast as it is impossible to identify their determinants. One of the solutions are saturated models that include as many zero-one variables for particular periods as possible, on the assumption that: $\left|\mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{t}}\right|>\mathrm{Se}_{\mathrm{e}} * \mathrm{u}_{\alpha}$. Such approach is applied to describe all atypical observations (Kufel 2009).

Summing up, the following specifications of the model of description of the yearly, monthly, and weekly cycles were used in the study (Kufel 2009):

Model A - basic model

$$
\mathrm{Yt}=\alpha_{0}+\alpha_{1} \mathrm{t}+\sum_{\mathrm{i}=1}^{5} \mathrm{~b}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{Tit}_{\mathrm{i}}+\sum_{\mathrm{j}=1}^{30} \mathrm{~d} j \mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{j} t}+\sum_{\mathrm{s}=1}^{11} \mathrm{msMst}_{\mathrm{s}}+\varepsilon \mathrm{t}
$$

t - time variable,
$\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{it}}$ - block of zero-one variables for the description of the weekly cycle,
$D_{j t}$ - block of zero-one variables for the description of the monthly cycle, $\mathrm{M}_{\text {st }}$ - block of zero-one variables for the description of the yearly cycle,

Model B - model excluding zero sales

$$
\mathrm{Yt}=\alpha_{0}+\alpha_{1} \mathrm{t}+\alpha_{2} \mathrm{Wt}+\sum_{\mathrm{i}=1}^{5} \mathrm{~b}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{it}}+\sum_{\mathrm{j}=1}^{30} \mathrm{~d} j \mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{j} t}+\sum_{\mathrm{S}=1}^{11} \mathrm{msMs}_{\mathrm{st}}+\varepsilon \mathrm{t}
$$

$\mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{t}}$ - zero-one variable for periods with zero sales
Model C - model excluding zero sales and outliers (one zero-one variable for all periods with outliers)

$$
\mathrm{Yt}=\alpha_{0}+\alpha_{1} \mathrm{t}+\alpha_{2} \mathrm{Wt}+\alpha_{3} 0 u t \mathrm{t}+\sum_{\mathrm{i}=1}^{5} \mathrm{biTit}_{\mathrm{i}}+\sum_{\mathrm{j}=1}^{30} \mathrm{djD}_{j t}+\sum_{\mathrm{S}=1}^{11} \mathrm{~m}_{s} \mathrm{Mst}^{t}+\varepsilon \mathrm{t}
$$

out $_{t}$ - zero-one variable for periods with sales outliers, on the assumption that: out $t_{t}=\{0$ when $Y_{t}<Y_{t}^{*}, 1$ when $Y_{t}>Y_{t}^{*}$,
$\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{t}}^{*}$ - sales value above which outliers are identified
Model D - model excluding zero sales and outliers (a set of zero-one variables for periods with outliers)

$$
\mathrm{Yt}=\alpha_{0}+\alpha_{1} \mathrm{t}+\alpha_{2} \mathrm{Wt}+\sum_{\mathrm{i}=1}^{5} \mathrm{biCl}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{t}+\sum_{\mathrm{j}=1}^{30} \mathrm{~d}_{\mathrm{j}} \mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{j} t}+\sum_{\mathrm{s}=1}^{11} \mathrm{~m}_{\mathrm{s}} \mathrm{Mst}_{\mathrm{st}}+\sum_{\mathrm{l}=1}^{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{klK}_{l t}+\varepsilon \mathrm{t}
$$

$\mathrm{K}_{l t}$ - a block of single zero-one variables for atypical observations on the assumption that: $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{t}}=\left\{0\right.$ when $\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{t}}<\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{t}}^{*}$, 1 when $\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{t}}>\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{t}}$ t)

Model E - saturated model

$$
\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{t}}=\beta_{0}+\sum_{\mathrm{j}=1}^{\mathrm{ko}} \beta_{\mathrm{j}} \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{j} t}+\sum_{\mathrm{i}=1}^{\mathrm{k} 1} v_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{Dit}_{\mathrm{it}}+\mathrm{Vt}_{\mathrm{t}}
$$

on the assumption that: $D_{i t}=\left\{1: t=t_{i} ; o: t \neq t_{i}, t_{i} \in D, D=\left\{t_{1}, t_{2}, \ldots, t_{k}\right\}\right.$, for: $\left|e_{t}\right|>S_{e} * u_{\alpha,}$ the number of additionally entered snapshot variables must be lower than the degree of freedom of the model.

Model F - variable cycle model

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{Yt} & =\mathrm{a}_{00}+\mathrm{a}_{11} \mathrm{Zt}+\mathrm{a}_{10} \mathrm{t}+\mathrm{a}_{11} \mathrm{ZZt}+\sum_{\mathrm{i}=1}^{5}\left(\mathrm{~b} 2 \mathrm{oT} \mathrm{Tit}+\mathrm{b} 21 \mathrm{TitZ}_{\mathrm{t}}\right)+ \\
& +\sum_{\mathrm{j}=1}^{30}\left(\mathrm{~d}_{30} \mathrm{Djt}_{\mathrm{jt}}+\mathrm{d}_{31} \mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{jtZ}}\right) \sum_{\mathrm{S}=1}^{11}\left(\mathrm{~m}_{40} \mathrm{Mst}+\mathrm{m}_{41} \mathrm{MstZt}\right)+\varepsilon \mathrm{t}
\end{aligned}
$$

on the assumption that: $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{t}}=\left\{\mathrm{o}: \mathrm{t}<\mathrm{t}^{*} ; 1: \mathrm{t}>\mathrm{t}^{*}\right\}, \mathrm{t}^{*}-$ date of introduction of organisational changes.

## EMPIRICAL EVIDENCE

The empirical evidence uses a series of daily observations of the value of yogurt sales (Figure 1). The data comes from the wholesale store whose product range includes primarily: yogurts, frozen food, ice-cream, cheese and other dairy products. In the analysed period, the wholesale store was expanding its activity and opened the second customer service point with ice-cream warehouses only. The first point has been open since 2012 from Monday to Friday, and the second point - from Monday to Saturday. The transaction system that records sales does not include information on the customer service point, therefore it is impossible to divide the data series into two groups. As a result, changes are visible in the statistical series in attempts of data modelling with division into sales groups manifested as zero or lowered sales on Saturday for most sales groups [table 1]. A question should be asked about its impact on the determined amplitudes of weekly, monthly, and yearly cyclicality.

The graph in Figure 1 shows a high degree of irregular interference. Preliminary analysis shows that complex cycles including zero sales and outlier periods should be expected in the covered period. The series has a strong positive asymmetry.

Table 2 presents calculated annual, monthly, and weekly cyclicality indices obtained on the basis of 6 estimated models of the studied process.

In models B-E the entered sets of zero-one variables turned out to be statistically relevant, adding them to the basic model (A) considerably reduced the standard error of remainders and also improved 3 information criteria. As far as the saturated model (E) is concerned, it should be added that the evaluation of the model's parameters were not significantly altered (in comparison with the basic model).


Figure 1. Daily sales value in a selected wholesale store in Poland for the period from 2 January 2009 to 30 December 2013 [group 1 - yogurts], 6-day week [ $\mathrm{n}=1562$ ]
Source: own work on the basis of the internal data of the wholesale store.
Table 1. The number of invoiced sales days and zero sales days divided by days of the week for group 1

| Day of the <br> week | Number of <br> days <br> $(2009 /$ o1/02- <br> 2013/12/31) | Invoiced sales | Difference | Bank holidays | Days with <br> zero sales |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Monday | 261 | 246 | 15 | 10 | 5 |
| Tuesday | 261 | 249 | 12 | 5 | 7 |
| Wednesday | 260 | 252 | 8 | 4 | 4 |
| Thursday | 260 | 244 | 16 | 12 | 4 |
| Friday | 261 | 251 | 10 | 7 | 3 |
| Saturday | 261 | 201 | 60 | 5 | 55 |

Source: own work on the basis of the internal data of the wholesale store.
In Table 2, the differences in the calculated amplitudes should be noticed. In the case of models B-D, where the calculated amplitudes are significantly lower than in model A, it should be concluded that zero values and outliers had a big impact on these periods. And when the calculated amplitudes were on a similar level, the impact of these observations was insignificant.

Table 2. Calculated annual, monthly, and weekly cyclicality indices for the process of daily yogurt sales

| variable | model A | model B | model C | model D | model E* |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Monday | -187,7873333 | -212,66365 | -209,615 | -209,29 | -150,775 |
| Tuesday | 115,0666667 | 105,98305 | 95,44382 | 94,71 | 105,6043 |
| Wednesday | 160,7496667 | 127,37805 | 129,9658 | 128,58 | 222,6483 |
| Thursday | -116,4673333 | -139,69955 | -143,234 | -145,79 | -246,91 |
| Friday | 336,0296667 | 311,61705 | 308,5058 | 312,73 | 415,2353 |
| Saturday | -307,5913333 | -192,61495 | -181,07 | -180,94 | -345,8 |
| January | 48,46941667 | 49,4455 | 46,47383 | 51,72683 | 20,54058 |
| February | -12,32858333 | 6,8585 | 20,62683 | 20,67083 | 0,366583 |
| March | 66,42241667 | 65,7895 | 77,46483 | 77,33883 | 69,56858 |
| April | 66,02941667 | 71,8865 | 53,34883 | 52,95983 | 54,79058 |
| May | 38,23241667 | 23,4455 | 5,320833 | -4,55017 | 16,55958 |
| June | -43,52358333 | -49,7845 | -37,9342 | -37,9002 | -29,5234 |
| July | 32,98341667 | -7,8485 | 2,008833 | 1,864833 | 30,47858 |
| August | 88,90041667 | 54,9885 | 21,20083 | 19,14383 | 73,44958 |
| September | -51,74358333 | -53,9705 | -42,9262 | -42,8672 | -38,1564 |
| October | 22,52541667 | 26,3485 | 21,16483 | 27,97783 | 34,76958 |
| November | 14,44241667 | 47,4665 | 57,20483 | 57,42683 | 31,48458 |
| December | -270,4095833 | -234,6255 | -223,95 | -223,79 | -264,33 |
| day 1 | 323,5542903 | 331,4662581 | 302,9037 | 321,2914 | 388,3473 |
| day 2 | 80,12629032 | 85,73325806 | 58,61273 | 59,89543 | 56,29329 |
| day 3 | 80,31729032 | 71,08025806 | 6,513729 | 20,55143 | 9,34129 |
| day 4 | 157,5772903 | 113,9382581 | 48,20373 | 23,83543 | 120,7803 |
| day 5 | 168,4172903 | 147,3842581 | 156,6977 | 156,6094 | 156,2283 |
| day 6 | -12,69370968 | -31,82374194 | -19,9803 | -19,9416 | -15,5267 |
| day 7 | 105,7132903 | 95,31525806 | 106,6387 | 106,6764 | 124,8323 |
| day 8 | 48,99029032 | 19,23425806 | -8,81927 | -6,76757 | -3,35971 |
| day 9 | -68,24870968 | -62,87974194 | -53,3093 | -53,2656 | -57,9527 |
| day 10 | 26,08929032 | 16,61325806 | -9,82127 | -18,4886 | 7,22829 |
| day 11 | -21,98770968 | -48,74274194 | -38,0213 | -38,1986 | 65,02429 |
| day 12 | 134,1722903 | 132,2522581 | 141,8787 | 141,7504 | 134,4463 |
| day 13 | 30,58729032 | 8,477258065 | 20,07873 | 20,13143 | -2,93071 |
| day 14 | 43,42329032 | 16,42125806 | -10,1713 | -12,8696 | 42,43929 |
| day 15 | -22,44470968 | -35,10174194 | -24,1483 | -24,3586 | -11,5117 |
| day 16 | -13,79370968 | -9,120741935 | 0,621729 | 0,662426 | -4,27471 |
| day 17 | 34,35629032 | 7,844258065 | 19,31373 | 19,40643 | 41,11329 |


| day 18 | 50,58729032 | 23,44425806 | 34,09173 | 33,95443 | 80,11129 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| day 19 | $-13,59070968$ | $-32,41974194$ | $-22,9743$ | $-23,1246$ | $-13,2307$ |
| day 20 | 4,458290323 | $-17,89074194$ | $-6,36527$ | $-6,30357$ | $-32,1297$ |
| day 21 | $-67,45670968$ | $-94,69714194$ | $-83,8919$ | $-83,8858$ | $-30,4967$ |
| day 22 | 75,61029032 | 28,04025806 | 38,69673 | 38,43343 | 61,42029 |
| day 23 | $-127,4327097$ | $-122,9990419$ | $-113,332$ | $-113,282$ | $-140,316$ |
| day 24 | $-0,528709677$ | $-25,76474194$ | $-14,1553$ | $-14,0846$ | $-53,9787$ |
| day 25 | $-17,56570968$ | $-61,26674194$ | $-50,3443$ | $-50,5516$ | 11,61129 |
| day 26 | $-65,56870968$ | $-83,27674194$ | $-73,598$ | $-73,8095$ | $-63,9637$ |
| day 27 | $-62,68070968$ | $-49,30074194$ | $-37,2623$ | $-37,0626$ | $-94,2017$ |
| day 28 | $-130,1097097$ | $-136,6858419$ | $-125,215$ | $-125,185$ | $-93,2387$ |
| day 29 | 42,26929032 | 13,03625806 | 24,10373 | 23,93343 | 19,26829 |
| day 30 | $-287,6687097$ | $-108,8979419$ | $-96,2001$ | $-95,9613$ | $-198,63$ |
| day 31 | $-494,4787097$ | $-189,4127419$ | $-170,75$ | $-169,99$ | $-502,74$ |

*Identification of atypical observations for which process residues were higher in terms of module than the product of the remainder standard error and critical value for the values: $S(e)=519,9433$ and $\mathbf{u}_{\alpha}=2.17$
Source: own work on the basis of the internal data of the wholesale store.


Figure 2. Amplitudes of weekly cyclicality for the process of daily yogurt sales in the wholesale store ( $\mathrm{n}=1562$ ) calculated for model A, B, C, D and E
Source: own work on the basis of gretl data.


Figure 3. Amplitudes of monthly cyclicality for the process of daily yogurt sales in the wholesale store ( $\mathrm{n}=1562$ ) calculated for model A, B, C, D and E Source: own work on the basis of Gretl data.


Figure 4. Amplitudes of yearly cyclicality for the process of daily yogurt sales in the wholesale store ( $\mathrm{n}=1562$ ) calculated for model A, B, C, D and E
Source: own work on the basis of gretl data.

To make a comprehensive evaluation of the effects of the fact that one of the two customer service points was closed on Saturdays on the modelling of cyclicality described on the basis of daily data, an additional model F was calculated in two variants and amplitudes were also set for this model [Fig. 5-10].


Figure 5. Amplitudes of weekly cyclicality for the process of daily yogurt sales in the wholesale store ( $\mathrm{n}=1562$ ) calculated for model F
Source: own work on the basis of gretl data.


Figure 6. Amplitudes of weekly cyclicality for the process of daily yogurt sales in the wholesale store $(\mathrm{n}=1562)$ calculated for model F with the exclusion of zero sales and outlier periods
Source: own work on the basis of Gretl data.


Figure 7. Amplitudes of yearly cyclicality for the process of daily yogurt sales in the wholesale store ( $\mathrm{n}=1562$ ) calculated for model F Source: own work on the basis of gretl data.


Figure 8 . Amplitudes of yearly cyclicality for the process of daily yogurt sales in the wholesale store $(\mathrm{n}=1562)$ calculated for model F with the exclusion of zero sales and outlier periods
Source: own work on the basis of gretl data.


Figure 9. Amplitudes of monthly cyclicality for the process of daily yogurt sales in the wholesale store ( $\mathrm{n}=1562$ ) calculated for model F Source: own work on the basis of gretl data.


Figure 10. Amplitudes of monthly cyclicality for the process of daily yogurt sales in the wholesale store $(\mathrm{n}=1562)$ calculated for model F with the exclusion of zero sales and outlier periods
Source: own work on the basis of gretl data.

The application of F-test confirms the significance of the difference between the A and F model. It should be emphasised that parameter estimates in model F suggest that the zero-one variables were significant for the periods before and after organisational change.

Differences in cyclical fluctuations with the analysed period divided into two subperiods could be observed intuitively already during preliminary analysis of Figure 1 as after 2012 the value of sales was lower than before. Saturday was an interesting case, in Figure 9 that presents amplitudes of weekly cyclicality with the exclusion of zero sales and outlier periods the amplitudes are similar. Higher share of zero sales periods that was the effect of organisational changes lowered the evaluation of this parameter for Saturday considerably.

## CONCLUSION

The cyclicality of economic processes is mainly the result of the changing seasons of the year, payment of remuneration and taxes, working day arrangement, etc. Such factors are quoted in most handbooks connected with the analysis of cyclicality of economic phenomena. These basic causes have direct influence on the regularity of economic processes, but on the other hand, there are numerous other factors that also affect the evaluation of cycle amplitudes. Some of them are noticeable only in descriptions based on daily data. Such factors include all actions connected with customer behaviour changes, which causes variable demand, such as promotional actions, changes of the company's organisation of work (e.g. work reorganisation, change of location of the customer service point). In practice, such series require an individual approach, detailed and diligent analysis of the periods that have a significant impact on the evaluation of the cyclicality of processes, and a decision concerning the method of their description. The selection of the method of description of the days with outlier or zero values is also arbitrary (it depends on the person conducting the study). Nevertheless, deeper analysis recommendations can be formulated (concerning the choice of several specifications). If detailed analysis of the series including the factors affecting the changes in its structure is not conducted, the conclusion drawn on the basis of the set amplitudes may be encumbered with an error.

It should also be emphasised that in the case of the analysed wholesale store the covered period was characterised by a negative trend, which should be explained mainly with the factors connected with the company's environment, i.e. appearance of other wholesale stores and supermarkets on the local market (which are a big threat for micro enterprises). The database of the customers of the wholesale store was also analysed, which was relatively constant in the covered period, and the changes in order value were subject to a falling trend. The customers of the wholesale store included mainly small local shops, whose share in the sales on the local market decreased after chain stores with competitive prices entered the market. This change was noticeable in the amplitudes calculated with model F (with the division into the period before and after organisational changes). In the latter period (after organisational changes) a decrease of the average value of sales in particular months was noticeable (it is also confirmed by the analysis of Figure 1).

Summing up the results obtained it should be said that the modelling of phenomena described on the basis of high observation frequency may be difficult. The construction of models based on zero-one variables makes it possible to create models with better properties, which concerns mainly a smaller standard error of remainders. Inclusion of additional zero-one variables in the model means in practice exclusion of influence of a given observation.
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